

1
2
3 ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION) DOCKET NO.
On Its Own Motion) 11-0341
4 -vs-)
AMEREN ILLINOIS COMPANY d/b/a)
5 Ameren Illinois)
6 Reconciliation of revenues)
collected under Rider EDR with the)
7 actual costs associated with)
energy efficiency and)
8 demand-response plans.)
9 Reconciliation of revenues)
collected under Rider GER with the)
10 actual costs associated with)
natural gas energy efficiency)
11 plans.)
12 Springfield, Illinois
Tuesday, March 21, 2012
13
14 Met, pursuant to notice, at 2:00 p.m.
15 BEFORE:
16 MR. LARRY JONES, Administrative Law Judge
17
18
19
20
21 SULLIVAN REPORTING COMPANY, by
Carla J. Boehl, Reporter
22 CSR #084-002710

1 **APPEARANCES:**

2 MR. MARK W. DEMONTE
3 JONES DAY
4 77 West Wacker, Suite 3500
5 Chicago, Illinois 60601
6 Ph. (312) 782-3939

7 (Appearing via teleconference on
8 behalf of Ameren Illinois
9 Company)

10 MS. KRISTIN MUNSCH
11 MS. CHRISTIE HICKS
12 Citizens Utility Board
13 309 West Washington, Suite 800
14 Chicago, Illinois 60606
15 Ph. (312) 263-4282

16 (Appearing via teleconference on
17 behalf of the Citizens Utility
18 Board)

19 MR. JOHN SAGONE
20 MS. NICOLE LUCKEY
21 Office of General Counsel
22 160 North LaSalle Street, Suite C-800
23 Chicago, Illinois 60601
24 Ph. (312) 814-2908

25 (Appearing via teleconference on
26 behalf of Staff witnesses of the
27 Illinois Commerce Commission)

28 MR. MICHAEL R. BOROVIK
29 Assistant Attorney General
30 Public Utilities Bureau
31 Illinois Attorney General's Office
32 100 West Randolph Street, 11th Floor
33 Chicago, Illinois 60601

34 (Appearing via teleconference
35 on behalf of the People of the
36 State of Illinois)

1		<u>I N D E X</u>			
2					
3	<u>WITNESS</u>	<u>DIRECT</u>	<u>CROSS</u>	<u>REDIRECT</u>	<u>RECROSS</u>
4	(None)				
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13		<u>EXHIBITS</u>			
14					
15				<u>MARKED</u>	<u>ADMITTED</u>
16	(None)				
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22

0
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
20
21
22

27
28
29
20
21
22

29
20
21
22

20

21

22

20

1 JUDGE JONES: Thank you. Other appearances?

2 MS. YU: On behalf of the Office of the
3 Illinois Attorney General, Cathy C. Yu and Karen L.
4 Lusson.

5 JUDGE JONES: Thank you. Are there any other
6 appearances?

7 MS. MUNSCH: Yes. On behalf of the Citizens
8 Utility Board, Kristin Munsch.

9 JUDGE JONES: Thank you. Are there any other
10 appearances?

11 (No response.)

12 Let the record show there are not.

13 In terms of filing since we last met,
14 it appears that the most recent testimony filing on
15 e-Docket was direct testimony of the Illinois
16 Commerce Commission Staff. Is there further
17 scheduling to be proposed at this time?

18 MR. DE MONTE: Yes, Your Honor. This is Mark
19 DeMonte. The company has discussed with the other
20 parties and we have agreed to collectively propose a
21 filing date for company rebuttal on May 16 with a
22 status hearing to follow. And presently I understand

1 May 30 or the 31st in the afternoon works for the
2 parties, if it would work for Your Honor.

3 JUDGE JONES: All right. One moment.

4 (Pause.)

5 Those dates look pretty good. Did
6 you have a preference?

7 MR. DE MONTE: I believe two o'clock p.m. would
8 be a preference.

9 JUDGE JONES: Do you have a preference as to
10 which of those two days?

11 MR. DE MONTE: I do not. I don't know if
12 anyone else does.

13 JUDGE JONES: Anyone else want to weigh in on
14 that?

15 MS. MUNSCH: CUB does not, Your Honor.

16 JUDGE JONES: All right. Two o'clock on May 30
17 would be available. Does anyone have a problem with
18 the two o'clock part of that?

19 (No response.)

20 Let the record show they do not.

21 Are there any objections or
22 clarifications with regard to anything else that

1 Mr. DeMonte recited as part of that proposed
2 scheduling?

3 (No response.)

4 Let the record show there are no
5 objections at this time.

6 Let the record show that the
7 scheduling proposed on the record by Mr. DeMonte is
8 approved. The status hearing date that is part of
9 that is May 30 at 2:00 p.m. with participation by
10 telephone to be permitted.

11 MR. DE MONTE: Thank you, Judge.

12 JUDGE JONES: Do the parties have anything else
13 for today's status hearing before we conclude it?

14 (No response.)

15 Let the record show they do not.

16 At this time then our thanks to
17 Mr. DeMonte for circulating the call-in number. This
18 status hearing is concluded, and in accordance with
19 the above scheduling this matter is continued to a
20 status hearing May 30 at 2:00 p.m.

21 (Whereupon the hearing in this
22 matter was continued until May

1 30, 2012, at 2:00 p.m. in
2 Springfield, Illinois.)
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22